OpenChain Work Team Call 04-15-2019 minutes:

Roll Call:
- Shane Coughlan
- Michael Herzog
- Tom Osaki
- Nobuo Imada
- Mark Gisi
- Yoshi Kobayashi
- Jim Hutchison
- Masaki Ambai
- Hiro Fukuchi
- Norio Kobota
- Masato Endo
- Nathan Kumagai

First subject as always is the Antitrust Policy Notice

Project Update:

- New Partners in Italy and India:
  These are law firms in two new geographies, law firms are important for us, because quite a few companies working with us, ask to where to go if they need help. Italy is a small market, but with a lot of industry and participants. The law firm in Italy is very experienced in open source technology. It’s called Studio Legal. There will be more information via e-mail this week
  In India we are working with Mishi Choudhary. She is a key lawyer from Software Freedom Law Center, covering technology and political matters. This gives us a terrific avenue into one of the world largest markets.

- Increased discussions in the automotive space
  Shane talked to various companies from Volkswagen Group. There is interest from Porsche, Volkswagen and Audi. Big thanks to Masato Endo from Toyota. Our existing partners, for example Bosch, are going to be a great help, as we are building these new relationships. 

- Preparing for release of the supplier education leaflet
  In Japan! This is one of the sub workgroups of the OpenChain Japan workgroup. This was shares with ~120 lawyers and project leaders from Open Source at the Legal Workshop in Barcelona. The leaflet was well received. There will be more feedback before the release. It will be used in Europe and America as well.

- Preparing for formal release of Specification 2.0 on the 24th of April 2019
  1.2 is the current specification, still very useful and valid, we still hope to see people conforming with it. 2.0 is a strategic leap, as it will enter the ISO standardization process. The goal here fore is early 2020. This is the spec hundreds of companies will engage with and will conform to. Major work is done. We are preparing for formal release. More later today.
Summary: We are doing great! The Japan workgroup opened a two way street between Japanese and international companies in sharing Open Source Compliance. The worldwide community in areas like automotive is building new friendships.

Guest speaker: Michael Herzog from nextB talks about ScanCode

Shane adds why we are very interested in this. In Japan and international there is more and more discussion about automation for compliance.

Specification Work Team:
- final draft of the OpenChain Specification can be found here: https://wiki.linuxfoundation.org/_media/openchain/openchainspec-2.0.pdf
- now is the time to mention any type of critical bug, but not to add or remove features
- Marc points out that the spec is finished. If people have something that is really problematic it can be cued up for the next release.
- The FAQ is updated. Everybody should subscribe to the working group mailing list. There you get access to the latest information.
- The translations need to organized. The updated template is available on GitHub.
- The conformance questionnaire is under way. It should be ready on the 24th, the formal release day.
- People that meet the requirements of 1.2, should pretty much meet the requirements of 2.0.
  If you already comply to 1.2, it is not required to change our process to comply with 2.0, there is probably just a need to document a little more and do bit more administrative work on it

A big thank you to Mark!

- It will take some time to roll out to companies and people to be aware.
- Marc is willing on drafting 3.0 in the future.
- In 2.0 we adjusted with what we learned from 1.2.
- This is the version we plan to format and submit for ISO standardization.

Comments or questions:
- Tom Osaki asked about section 1.4 Program Scope in the Requirements of the Specification, suggesting a formatting adjustment. This was identified as a bug and will be implemented before release.
- Another question was raised about 1.3 Awareness. Program participants and Software staff from the Definitions is the same. It might be changed in the next release.
- Feedback can be provided in the mailing list or in GitHub