



OPENCHAIN

11-19-2018

OpenChain Workgroup - The Linux Foundation

All materials are made available under the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal license.

Antitrust Policy Notice

- › Linux Foundation meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Linux Foundation to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- › Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of the Linux Foundation, feel free to contact Andrew Updegrave of the firm of Gesmer Updegrave LLP, which provides legal counsel to the Linux Foundation.

Agenda

- Roll call
- Project Update
- Specification
- Conformance

Project Update

- OpenChain Spec in German and Hindi:
<https://bit.ly/2OTFiiD>
<https://bit.ly/2Kijb4W>
- OpenChain in M&A, KPMG event, 5th December:
<https://bit.ly/2PBX7be>
- Draft Open Source Policy Template:
<https://bit.ly/2Kf08bD>

Project Update

- First Conformant Organization in Hong Kong:
<https://bit.ly/2DwtkJT>
- OpenChain Q4 Survey:
<https://bit.ly/2Q3oSsT>
- OpenChain Overview Slides (updated):
<https://bit.ly/2Bj8R9V>

Specification

Training Requirement:

- ~~1.2.3 At least 85% of the Software Staff are Currently Trained, as per the definition above. The 85% may not necessarily refer to the entire organization, but to the totality Software Staff~~

1.3 Awareness

The organization shall ensure that persons doing work are aware of:

- a) The Open Source policy;
- b) Re
- c) Th
- d) Th
re

1.2 Competence

The organization shall:

- Identify the roles and the corresponding responsibilities of those roles that affects the performance and effectiveness of the Program;
- Determine the necessary competence of person(s) fulfilling each role
- Ensure that these persons are competent on the basis of appropriate education, training, and/or experience;
- Where applicable, take actions to acquire the necessary competence
- Retain appropriate documented information as evidence of competence

Specification

Artifact Requirement:

Goal 4: Deliver ~~Open Source Content Documentation and~~ Compliance Artifacts

4.1 A process exists for creating the set of Compliance Artifacts for each Supplied Software release.

Verification Material(s):

- 4.1.1 A documented procedure that ensures the Compliance Artifacts are prepared and distributed with the Supplied Software release as required by the Identified Licenses.
- 4.1.2 Copies of the Compliance Artifacts of the Supplied Software release are archived and easily retrievable, and the archive is planned to exist for at least as long as the Supplied Software is offered or as required by the Identified Licenses (whichever is longer).

Rationale:

To ensure the complete collection of Compliance Artifacts accompany the Supplied Software as required by the Identified Licenses along with other reports created as part of the Open Source review process.

Specification

Developer Guidelines

Goal 5: Understand Open Source Community Engagement

- 5.1 A written policy exists that governs contributions to Open Source projects by the organization. The policy must be internally communicated.**

Verification Material(s):

- 5.1.1 A documented Open Source contribution policy;
- 5.1.2 A documented procedure that makes all Software Staff aware of the existence of the Open Source contribution policy (e.g., via training, internal wiki, or other practical communication method).

Rationale:

To ensure an organization has given reasonable consideration to developing a policy with respect to publicly contributing to Open Source. The Open Source contribution policy can be made a part of the overall Open Source policy of an organization or be its own separate policy. In the situation where contributions are limited or not permitted at all, a policy should exist making that position clear.

- 5.2 If an organization permits contributions to Open Source projects then a process exists that implements the Open Source contribution policy outlined in Section 5.1.**

Conformance

Possible Bug:

OpenChain Self Certification Survey

Specification Version 1.0 (Survey Version 1.0.3)

- ▶ G1: Know Your FOSS Responsibilities
- ▶ G2: Assign Responsibility for Achieving Compliance
- ▶ G3: Review and Approve FOSS Content
- ▶ G4: Deliver FOSS Content Documentation and Artifacts
- ▼ G5: Understand FOSS Community Engagement

#	Question
5.a:	Do you allow contributions of your employees to FOSS projects on behalf of the organization?
5.b:	Do your employees have to follow rules, when they contribute to FOSS projects on behalf of the organization?
5.c:	Are these rules captured in a written policy ("FOSS Contribution Policy")?
5.d:	Is your Software Staff aware of the existence of the FOSS Contribution Policy?
5.e:	Do you make your Software Staff aware of the FOSS Contribution Policy using at least one of the following methods?
5.e.i:	• Training,
5.e.ii:	• Internal documentation,
5.e.iii:	• Another practical communication method?
5.g:	Does the FOSS Contribution Policy cover considerations that might include the following?
5.g.i:	• Legal approval for license considerations,
5.g.ii:	• Business rationale or approval
5.g.iii:	• Technical review of code to be contributed
5.g.iv:	• Community engagement and interaction
5.g.v:	• Adherence to project-specific contribution requirements

Conformance

Improvement:

OpenChain Self Certification Survey

Specification Version 1.0 (Survey Version 1.0.3)

- ▶ G1: Know Your FOSS Responsibilities
- ▶ G2: Assign Responsibility for Achieving Compliance
- ▶ G3: Review and Approve FOSS Content
- ▶ G4: Deliver FOSS Content Documentation and Artifacts
- ▼ G5: Understand FOSS Community Engagement

#	Question
5.a:	Do you allow contributions of your employees to FOSS projects on behalf of the organization?
5.b:	Do your employees have to follow rules, when they contribute to FOSS projects on behalf of the organization?
5.c:	Are these rules captured in a written policy ("FOSS Contribution Policy")?
5.d:	Is your Software Staff aware of the existence of the FOSS Contribution Policy?
5.e:	Do you make your Software Staff aware of the FOSS Contribution Policy using at least one of the following methods?
5.e.i:	• Training,
5.e.ii:	• Internal documentation,
5.e.iii:	• Another practical communication method?
5.g:	Does the FOSS Contribution Policy cover considerations that might include the following?
5.g.i:	• Legal approval for license considerations,
5.g.ii:	• Business rationale or approval
5.g.iii:	• Technical review of code to be contributed
5.g.iv:	• Community engagement and interaction
5.g.v:	• Adherence to project-specific contribution requirements

Save Answers

Save and Submit

Download Answers

Reset

Select Version



Thoughts?
Questions?