== Attendees ==

Nozue San
Endo San
Fukuchi San
Osaki San
Imada San
Mark Gisi
Shane Coughlan

== Specification 2.0 Review Process and Timescale ==

Our call was solely focused on reviewing the draft Specification 2.0
with an eye to ensuring we are ready for release in Mid-April.

The latest draft of the next version of OpenChain Specification can
be found here:
        https://wiki.linuxfoundation.org/_media/openchain/
openchainspec-2.0.draft.pdf

A marked up version can be found here:
        https://wiki.linuxfoundation.org/_media/openchain/
OpenChainSpec-2.0.draft.MarkUp.pdf

We are tracking "issues" (comments and suggestions) via GitHub:
https://github.com/OpenChain-Project/Specification/issues

The draft freeze period will start on April 4th and will last 14
days.

== Recording of our call ==

Our call and shared screens were recorded. The later part of the
call was unfortunately not captured due to a technical issue, but
the content is discussed below.
https://youtu.be/v3C3gGiRWCo

== Review of current issues discussed on the call ==

Based on recent working group discussions there are mixed views on
whether to maintain section 5 or possibly make it optional. Due to a
lack of general consensus the decision is to leave section 5 as is
(i.e., neither remove or extend).
https://github.com/OpenChain-Project/Specification/issues/14#

At the Feb 18th Spec Working group meeting there was a general
consensus that the spec should mention that artifacts should be
retained for some period of time past the last offering. The
challenge was agreeing on whether to include a specific minimum
duration (e.g., 12 months). One suggestion was to state "for a
reasonable period of time" instead of an explicit time. Another was
"for a reasonable period (e.g two years) past the last offer..." One
concern with that approach was some may want more specifics.
We also discussed whether the spec should address the need to

consider the fact that different countries may have specific document retention requirements. The consensus was that would add unnecessary complexity without adding additional value to the compliance task. The working group still needs to decide whether to specify a minimum time. If a given country has a longer or shorter time period that would not effect the minimum requirement (if we decide to include one). That is, if the local legal requirement is shorter then the spec minimum time would determine the duration. If local legal requirement was longer then the spec minimum then the spec requirement would be met.
https://github.com/OpenChain-Project/Specification/issues/5#

The Feb 18th working group decided to consider updating the Supplied Software by replacing the word "delivers" with "distributes". The new proposed definition is:
Supplied Software — software that an organization distributes to third parties (e.g., other organizations or individuals).
We will seek additional feedback.
https://github.com/OpenChain-Project/Specification/issues/11#issuecomment-465281666

== Next Steps ==

It is official — we are now seeking broader public comments for version 2.0 of the Specification. This is one of the last major steps in the spec development cycle which is described here:
        https://wiki.linuxfoundation.org/openchain/openchain-specification-wiki-page?&#specification_developmentrelease_process

You can send feedback via:
·   the Mailing list: Openchain-specification@lists.linuxfoundation.org;
·   the issues wiki: https://github.com/OpenChain-Project/Specification/issues; or
·   replying to me directly if you wish to remain anonymous (mark.gisi@windiver.com)