November’2017 Meeting

Date: November 28, 2017 (via Phone)

Agenda:

• 13:00 – 13:15 Recap of Open Source Summit in LA & Prague
• 13:15 – 13:45 Report outs from Metrics and Software Committees
• 13:45 – 15:50 2018 Plans
• 13:50 – 14:00 AoB

Board Members:
- Sean Goggins
- Matt Germonprez
- Jesus Gonzalez-Barahona
- Daniel German
- Scott Peterson
- Mike Dolan
- Don Marti
- Andrea Gallo
- Ildiko Vancsa
- Josianne Marsan

Linux Foundation Support:
Ray Paik
Kate Stewart

Notes:

- Open Source Summit-LA
  ○ Recap of good discussions at breakout: generating conversations isn’t difficult, but challenge is getting productive contributions
- Open Source Summit-Prague
  ○ Some good face-to-face discussion and level set between projects.
- TODO Group presentation.
  ○ Challenge in getting contributions, and need to wait and see.

- Metrics Committee report out
- Currently have 5 metrics in the Github repo (Value is the new one that has been added). BOFs have helped move these further.
- Looking for volunteer leaders for each metrics
  - Growth-Maturity-Decline: Ben Pearson (Samsung) & Ray.
  - Diversity-Inclusion: Possibly Daniel Izquierdo (Bitergia)
- "Release cycle" discussion started
  - A question was raised if release is the first signal that software committee should build something
  - Connecting metrics and software side for early feedback is needed. Software to put pressure on this.
  - Jesus suggests that Diversity is a way to explore how to do this interaction between committees and use this as a case for learning. (Matt was in agreement). Is there something more that can be done to make the metrics consumable? Jesus suggests let’s discuss the ones we have already. Daniel suggested making a clear division between what they mean and how they are presented. Jesus wants to see entire process being pushed through to learn from Diversity as a complete case. Gender diversity in particular is one that we can do something concrete with. Daniel added that extraction of data is separate problem from metrics. Jesus asked if people will be OK with creating a sample for diversity and if the experiment doesn’t work it could be removed. There was a verbal consensus on putting a timeframe of 4 months for this experiment and moving forward with it. (Can also be further discussed via mailing lists)
  - Matt added that what actions are taken from metrics is also an important part of this. Aim at framing a noisy discussion into consumable version.
- Jason Clark (IBM) is putting together a tool survey on displaying metrics. Input on what understanding differences between tools should be based on would be welcome.
- There are standing weekly hangouts and monthly calls.
- Correlation tables between metrics (push/pull) and impact on other metrics is also in progress.
- **Software Committee report out**
  - Moving discussions from internal to external.
  - Documented release process and how it’s done.
  - In next couple weeks moving all repositories to CHA OSS organization.
  - At organization level, working on formalizing voting member. Voting members are listed per project, and 1 year term, and 1 from metrics, and one from LF. Second term voting rules being drafted and worked out.
  - Technical decisions at project level, so trying to work through interaction with metrics as part of software committee voting.
  - Matt asked how do these (founding projects) all get integrated and Jesus responded that they’re integrated as data sources and different views. Cregit visualization is a goal there. Possibly using Percival to enlarge capabilities by
GHData, mining data, using GHData backend as data source for Grimoire db, etc. Sean agreed discussion are in progress to figure out how we can work together. Daniel would like to see better and cleaner interfaces here as each tool creating its own view of the world. Sean & Jesus agree this should be the goal,

- Andrea asked if there’s a high-level presentation on metrics or should he just work through the repositories? Matt is happy to talk through the metrics and possibility walk through a high-level overview at a future Governing Board meeting. Slides from https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1_VqtRBbR-r8vtjTVczojoeHli9p6aFD8oP L4L2R-Yp0/edit#slide=id.p3 could be used.
- Daniel pointed out that Metrics concept/terminology gets overloaded, and we should get more precise terminology for this and Sean also agreed with this.

- 2018 plans
  - CHAOSScon / Grimoirecon Europe (1 day before FOSDEM - Friday)
    - Looking for Input from CHAOSS community
    - Suggestions for Talks - Metrics & Software
    - Tried CFPs in the past, but still had to recruit speakers
  - For future CHAOSScon discussed shift from OSCON to Open Source Summit.
  - Venue is good for OS Leadership Summit, email invite to board members coming next couple of days. People were in agreement on having BOF sessions if possible.
  - Ray to follow-up on CFP process (incl. BoF sessions)